

Kommentering af udkast til produkt af forskningsbaseret faglig rådgivning fra DCE, Aarhus Universitet

Titel på produkt	Harbour porpoise presence at Kattegatt Syd					
	offshore windfarm site from December 2020 to					
	December 2023 – Final Report					
Ansvarlig for produktet	Poul Boel Jørgensen og Line A. Kyhn					
(projektleder i DCE)						
Rekvirent	Vattenfall Vind AB					
Kontaktperson hos rekvirent	Anna Seffel					
Deadline for fremsendelse af	21/2-2024	Dato for afslutning af håndtering af	21/3-2024			
kommentarer		kommentarer				

	Rekvirent			DCE	
	Kommentar	Placering i produktet	Ansvarlig for kommentar	Håndtering af kommentar	Ansvarlig for håndtering af kommentar
1	"The monitoring showed that detection rates in the area were intermediary between the" – given it is an abstract, could it be made a bit more accessible along the lines of "showed that the presence of porpoises in general were lower than at the LMG N2000 area to the north and higher than at the SMG N2000 area to the south, when comparing to monitoring there by the"	Abstract, title page		Accepted. Changed to: " that in general detection rates in the area were lower than at the Natura 2000 site <i>Lilla Middelgrund</i> to the north and higher than at the Natura 2000 site <i>Stora Middelgrund & Röda Bank</i> to the south, when comparing to monitoring there by the"	Poul Boel Jørgensen
2	"Across all three years the lowest detection rates of harbour porpoises were observed in November-February,	Abstract, tittle page		Accepted. Changed to: "highest levels were observed in March-May and August-September."	Poul Boel Jørgensen



	dce - nationalt center for miljø og energt. Rekvirent			DCE	
	Kommentar	Placering i produktet	Ansvarlig for kommentar	Håndtering af kommentar	Ansvarlig for håndtering af kommentar
	and the highest levels were observed in the summer half of the year." – this doesn't seem entirely aligned with conclusion within the report, e.g. section 5. Conclusion 2 nd and 3 rd paragraphs.				
3	"In all three years, detection rates in Creased from a low in November- February" – Shouldn't this be January-February, if it's across all three years?	Summary		Accepted. Changed to "increased from a low in January-February though"	Poul Boel Jørgensen
4	Could we ask to have the wind farm area updated on these maps? It has been shrunk a slightly in the permitting process.	Figures 1.1, 2.1 and 3.1			
5	"The variation in harbour porpoise detection rates across the three years of monitoring appeared substantial (Figure 3.7)." – think this should refer to figure 3.5	P18, 1st paragraph		Accepted. Changed to: "monitoring appeared substantial (Figure 3.5 and Figure 3.7)." Figure 3.7 presents the variation as 50% of DPM in the boxes, the 95% in the whiskers and the outliers with dots. Thus, the reader is provided with a more nuanced overview of the variation in this figure compared to figure 3.5. Thus the reference to Figure 3.7 is kept.	Poul Boel Jørgensen
6	"The period of sunrise and sunset for each month is shown in the figure as light is likely an important driver of	P20, 2nd paragraph		Accepted. Changed to: "As light is likely an important driver of behaviour of both harbour	Poul Boel Jørgensen



	dce - Nationalt Center for Miljø og energi. Rekvirent			DCE		
	Kommentar	Placering i produktet	Ansvarlig for kommentar	Håndtering af kommentar	Ansvarlig for håndtering af kommentar	
	behaviour of both harbour porpoises and their prey." – bit hard read sentence, suggest to start the sentence with the latter half, i.e. starting with "As light is".			porpoises and their prey, the period of sunrise and sunset for each month is shown in Figure 3.8".		
7	"In reality, however, there are large differences in detection rates between months and stations." - worth mentioning that there is sometimes (like in 2023) also high presence during winter?	P27, 4 th paragraph		We find that the sentence already describes that there are large differences between months and stations which is the subject of the paragraph. Details on the differences are addressed thoroughly in other sections.	Poul Boel Jørgensen	
8	"The detection rates in the Kattegatt Syd offshore wind farm are intermediate between the two closest Natura 2000 areas" — maybe just "in between" and referring "levels" too?	P28, 6 th paragraph		Partly accepted. Sentence changed to: "farm are in between the two closest Natura 2000 areas monitored by the Swedish national monitoring program, where Lilla Middelgrund has higher detection rates and Stora Middelgrund & Röda Bank has lower detection rates." In order to avoid confusion and misinterpretation, the authors decided consequently to use the term "detection rate" as this is what is actually measured.	Poul Boel Jørgensen	
	"The monthly patterns in detection rates, however, are similar." – this doesn't seem entirely aligned with the detailed comparison on p 23-24?	P28, 6th paragraph		Accepted. Sentence deleted.	Poul Boel Jørgensen	