

Bilag H. Skema til kommentering af udkast hos rekvirent/ekstern part

Titel på produkt	Marine Mammal surveys – pre-investigations for offshore wind farms in the area North Sea - Technical report		
Ansvarlig for produktet (projektleder i instituttet)	Signe Sveegaard (WPD leder) Signe Brinkløv (AU projektleder)		
Ekstern institution	Energinet (EN)		
Kontaktperson	Majken Them Tøttrup		
Deadline for fremsendelse af kommentarer	Final draft	Dato for afslutning af håndtering af kommentarer	

Rekvirent				Instituttet	
Evt. linjenr.	Kommentar	Ansvarlig for kommentar	Begrundet håndtering af kommentar	Ansvarlig for håndtering*	
107-109	Please attached the Bioconsult report (full version) as an appendix to the final version of this report	EN	We cannot include it as an appendix as it is a Bioconsult report and as such has not been through the AU/NIRAS quality assurance process.	SSV	
	The report: WSP 2024 Technical Report for Benthic Ecology, North Sea I has been uploaded to sharepoint.	EN	Thank you, the reference has been included in the report.	SSV	
578	In the text under Figure 2.2.10 it does say 'survey area'....but only pre-investigation area should be mentioned	EN	Corrected	SSV	
620	Concerning comment for first draft: The text says: 'The best model for harbour seals explained 66% of the variation....'. Please put a few more words to this to explain what this means and if it is considered good or helpful in understanding the presence of harbour seals'	EN	We have added the sentences: "which is unusually high, which is unusually high, considering that seals cannot be expected to be guided entirely by environmental conditions. These conditions are rather proxies for distributions of prey species, which are expected to be the most important factors determining	AGJ	

Rekvirent			Institut	
Evt. linjenr.	Kommentar	Ansvarlig for kommentar	Begrundet håndtering af kommentar	Ansvarlig for håndtering*
	<p>DCE has responded: It means that the environmental variables and distance to haul-out explain 48% of the variation in seal presence, which is unusually high, considering that seals cannot be expected to be guided entirely by environmental conditions.</p> <p>Comment for final draft: No text has been added to explain what the 48% means and why it is considered high. Does it mean that 52% can be explained by other variables than environmental conditions, such as ??</p>		<p>seal distribution at sea." To both the harbour and grey seal paragraphs concerning the habitat suitability models.</p>	
	Tilføj flere linjer efter behov			